

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,313	05/09/2001	Larry Harris	41872-249694	4713	
7:	590 09/16/2003				
J. Michael Boggs Kilpatrick Stockton LLP			EXAMINER		
1001 West Fourth Street Winston-Salem, NC 27101-2400			KILKENNY	KILKENNY, TODD J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733		
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	フ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/852,313	HARRIS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE CO.	Todd J. Kilkenny	1733			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sh et with the c	correspondenc address			
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any earn	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Pensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ARABCOME.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status	_					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-67 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents I 	have been received.				
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Par	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trad PTOL-326 (Rev	demark Office 7. 04-01) Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 5			

Art Unit: 1733

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1 43, drawn to a process for applying microcapsules to a textile material, classified in class 156, subclass 276.
 - Claims 44 67, drawn to a textile material having microcapsules, classified in class 442, subclass 123.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the final product as claimed does not require the process steps as recited and can be made by another and materially different process such as a process that doesn't require a treatment bath, but rather spray coats the microcapsules onto the textile material. Alternatively, the final product can be made by another and materially different process such as a process that doesn't require dispersing the microcapsules with a dispersant, but rather applies the microcapsules as solid particles directly to the textile without a dispersant.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,313 Page 3

Art Unit: 1733

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

If applicant elects Group I from the restriction above,

Species A₁, wherein the microcapsule contains a moisturizing agent as apparently required in claims 19, 21, 31, 33, 38 and 40.

Species A₂, wherein the microcapsule contains a fragrance as apparently required in claims 20, 21, 32, 33, 39 and 40.

Species A_3 , wherein the microcapsule contains a vitamin as apparently required in claims 22, 23, 34, 35, 41, and 42.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 - 18, 24 - 30, 36, 37 and 43 are generic.

If applicant elects Group II from the restriction above,

Species A₁, wherein the microcapsule contains a moisturizing agent as apparently required in claims 47, 49, 55, 57, 63 and 65.

Art Unit: 1733

Species A₂, wherein the microcapsule contains a fragrance as apparently required in claims 48, 49, 56, 57, 64 and 65.

Species A₃, wherein the microcapsule contains a vitamin as apparently required in claims 50, 51, 58, 59, 66 and 67.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 44 - 46, 52 - 54 and 60 - 62 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 1733

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 6. A telephone call was made to Michael Boggs on September 10, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Todd J. Kilkenny** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-6386**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9 - 5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1733

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

176 T.IK

Michael W. Ball
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700